

In the Matter of:
The Application of Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, et al.

THOMAS W. GREEN
Date Taken: July 27, 2009

1640 Grant Street, Suite 100
Denver, Colorado 80203

FAX: 303-830-8505
depo@richardsonreporting.com

B-021-001: Comment Noted (In Review)

Your email/letter/comment form has been received and your comment noted.

The Environmental Impact Statement is anticipated to be completed in late 2010 and will be available at
<http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/ea.htm>.

1 particular project. It would not alleviate our
2 obligations for Senate Bill 100.

3 Q Do you have an understanding as to
4 whether or not the current proposal and the new lines
5 under the current proposal would transmit power
6 generated by coal?

7 A Well, since we have an interconnected
8 system, the lines have a potential to carry power from
9 a variety of resources, whatever happens to be on the
10 system. What we were looking at with Senate Bill 100
11 is establishing solar generation in that region, and
12 if that were to be the case, then this would primarily
13 be exporting that solar power out of the region.

14 MR. DOUGLAS: Let's take a short break,
15 but I think that is it.

16 (Recess taken from 5:06 to 5:08.)

17 Q (By Mr. Douglas) Back on the record.
18 Mr. Green, do you know whether or not Public Service
19 Company ever discussed with anyone at Tri-State the
20 possibility of building a new line to the north from
21 the San Luis Valley instead of the San Luis Valley to
22 the Walsenburg/Calumet option?

23 A I don't know of any discussions that
24 have taken place.

25 Q You personally never had any